



## Comparative Evaluation of Tissue Eosinophils Frequency in Oral Squamous Cell Carcinoma with and without Cervical Lymph Node Involvement

S. Khalesi (DDS, MS)<sup>1</sup> , N. Kargahi (DDS, MS)<sup>\*2</sup> , Sh. Skandari (MD)<sup>3</sup> , A. Barandeh (DDS)<sup>4</sup>

1.Dental Materials Research Center, Isfahan University of Medical Sciences, Isfahan, I.R.Iran.

2.Dental Research Center, Isfahan University of Medical Sciences, Isfahan, I.R.Iran.

3.Department of Pathology, School of Medicine, Isfahan University of Medical Science, Isfahan, I.R.Iran.

4.Student Research Committee, Isfahan University of Medical Science, Isfahan, I.R.Iran.

**\*Corresponding Author:** N. Kargahi (DDS, MS)

**Address:** Dental Research Center, Isfahan University of Medical Sciences, Isfahan, I.R.Iran.

**Tel:** +98 (31) 37925661. **E-mail:** kargahi@dnt.mui.ac.ir

| Article Type   | ABSTRACT                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                         |
|----------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Research Paper | <p><b>Background and Objective:</b> Oral squamous cell carcinoma (OSCC) is the most common oral cancer. The prognosis of OSCC depends on many factors. The stage of the tumor and the condition of the lymph nodes are two important factors in determining the treatment methods and prognosis. Since the identification of clinicopathological indicators, especially the cervical lymph node involvement, can increase the accuracy in estimating the prognosis and adopting the appropriate treatment method, the aim of this study is to compare the frequency of tissue eosinophils in OSCC with and without cervical lymph node involvement (CLNI).</p> <p><b>Methods:</b> In this cross-sectional study, 17 OSCC samples with CLNI (group 1) and 17 samples without CLNI (group 2) from the samples registered in the Pathology Department of Ayatollah Kashani Hospital, which were treated by neck dissection surgery, were selected and clinicopathological data were extracted. Then, in order to determine the number of tissue eosinophils, slides prepared with Congo red staining were blindly examined by two oral pathologists with an optical microscope.</p> <p><b>Findings:</b> 88.2% of the group with cervical lymph node involvement had lymphovascular involvement, while 88.2% of the group without lymph node involvement did not have lymphovascular involvement, and this significant difference was reported (<math>p &lt; 0.001</math>). Also, the mean number of tissue eosinophils in group 2 (<math>19.95 \pm 5.67</math>) was higher than group 1 (<math>7.36 \pm 3.39</math>), indicating a significant relationship with CLNI (<math>p = 0.039</math>), but no significant relationship was found with any clinicopathological parameters.</p> <p><b>Conclusion:</b> According to the results of this study, the number of tissue eosinophils is an important prognostic factor in OSCC, and it can be used to better evaluate patients and provide more appropriate treatment.</p> <p><b>Keywords:</b> <i>Eosinophil, Squamous Cell Carcinoma, Mouth, Lymph Nodes.</i></p> |

**Received:**

**May 2<sup>nd</sup> 2023**


**Revised:**

**Jun 19<sup>th</sup> 2023**

**Accepted:**

**Jul 26<sup>th</sup> 2023**

**Cite this article:** Khalesi S, Kargahi N, Skandari Sh, Barandeh A. Comparative Evaluation of Tissue Eosinophils Frequency in Oral Squamous Cell Carcinoma with and without Cervical Lymph Node Involvement. *Journal of Babol University of Medical Sciences*. 2024; 26: e45.



## Introduction

Cancer is one of the five major causes of death in all countries. 5% of all cancers occur in the head and neck, and almost half of these cases are in the oral cavity. Oral cancer is the eighth most common cancer in men and the fifteenth most common cancer in women (1). The variety of clinical manifestations may depend on the risk factors and anatomical conditions. We can observe different clinicopathological features in patients with different habits and methods of using tobacco (as the most important risk factor for oral cancer). Most patients are over 50 years of age (2). If oral malignancies are not diagnosed in the early stages, these lesions spread and cause irreparable deformities and dysfunction of the organ and ultimately lead to the death of the patient (3).

Squamous cell carcinoma (SCC) is the most common head and neck malignancy. In the past 30 years, the incidence of oral SCC (OSCC) is increasing especially in younger people despite the progress in diagnostic techniques and treatment methods (4-6). Many studies showed the relation between the location, extent of the tumor, stage of disease, histopathological grade and lymphovascular and nural invasion with the risk of metastasis to the cervical lymph nodes and the survival rate (2, 3). One of the investigated factors related to the possibility of metastasis to CLN and affecting the prognosis of OSCC patients are inflammatory cells. Inflammatory cells are mostly mononuclear cells and to a lesser extent neutrophils and eosinophils in tumoral tissue. Eosinophils originate from the bone marrow and include 1-3% of peripheral blood leukocytes (7). The presence of special spherical and oval shaped granules containing basic protein, eosinophil derived neurotoxin, eosinophil peroxidase and eosinophil cationic protein are the characteristics of this cell. Eosinophils have the ability to produce and secrete inflammatory mediators such as CSF-GM, interleukin 3 and 5, TNF- $\alpha$ , TGF- $\beta$  and TGF- $\alpha$ , and some of these factors can cause the lysis of tumoral cells (8). An increase in the number of eosinophils has been seen in various head and neck diseases such as allergic gingivitis, lichen planus and cancers. Eosinophils also play a role in the biological behavior of carcinomas and produce substances to induce tumor progression or regulation. This cell plays a role in tumor lethality by releasing cytotoxic proteins directly and increasing the permeability of tumoral cells for the penetration of tumor-killing cytokines (9).

TATE (Tumor Associated Tissue Eosinophilia) means the infiltration of inflammatory cells with the majority of eosinophils around or inside the tumor and this inflammatory infiltration has occurred in many areas such as the pharynx, larynx, lungs, stomach, and oral cavity (10). Many studies have investigated the status of TATE and its relationship with the prognosis and survival rate of patients, but contradictory findings have been reported regarding the relationship between eosinophil infiltration and clinicopathological factors of head and neck SCC (11, 12). Most studies showed that TATE is a favorable prognostic marker in some malignant tumors and immunotherapy with the help of antitumor reaction of eosinophils can be used in cancer treatment. Considering the above explanations regarding the lack of agreement between research results and the lack of comparison of the status of this index in patients with and without metastasis, we decided to investigate the presence of eosinophils in oral SCC. Therefore, the aim of this study is to compare the frequency of tissue eosinophilia in oral squamous cell carcinoma with and without cervical lymph node involvement.

## Methods

Our study protocol was approved by the Research and Ethics Committee of Isfahan University of Medical Sciences with ethics code: IR.MUI.RESEARCH.REC.1400.556. In this cross-sectional study, 34 samples were selected from the archives of the Pathology Department of Ayatollah Kashani Hospital with

a definite diagnosis of OSCC, which were prepared by neck dissection treatment, and clinical data including age, gender, location and the size of the lesion was extracted from the files. 17 samples of OSCC with CLNI (N1) (Group 1) and 17 samples without CLNI (N0) (Group 2) were identified. Samples with incisional biopsy, lacking the necessary clinical information and lacking the proper quality of the block were excluded from the study. Then, the slides prepared by hematoxylin-eosin staining (H & E) from the oral lesions were examined by two oral pathologists with a light microscope for evaluation of tissue quality for Congo red staining and the histopathological grade of the tumor was determined based on the Bryne classification (13).

For Congo red staining, a 3-4  $\mu$  section was prepared and differentiated in 1% ethanol. The samples were washed with running water for 1 min and placed in 1% Congo red (Merck, Germany) aqueous solution for 20-30 min and they were placed in saturated lithium carbonate for 15 min and in 80% ethanol. Dehydration, clearing, and pasting were performed. All of the samples that were stained by Congo red staining techniques were evaluated simultaneously and blindly by two oral pathologists using an optical microscope (Olympus BX41TF, Tokyo, Japan) with 400 $\times$  magnification. Ten non-overlapping fields were selected and the tissue eosinophils in the fields were counted. All data was entered into SPSS24 software and statistically analyzed by Chi-square, Kruskal-Wallis, Fisher exact and Mann-Whitney statistical tests.  $P < 0.05$  was considered as a significant difference.

## Results

The mean age of all patients in this study was  $59.64 \pm 17.02$ . According to Mann-Whitney test, there was no significant difference between two groups based on mean age of patients. In both study groups, the number of male patients (52.9%) was more than female patients and according to the chi-squared test, no significant difference was observed between OSCCs with and without CLNI. Tumor location, tumor size, depth of invasion (DOI), lymphovascular invasion (LVI), perineural invasion (PNI), stage of disease and histopathological grade were compared between two groups with and without CLNI. A significant difference was observed between the two study groups only in PNI and disease stage ( $p < 0.001$ ) (Table 1).

**Table 1. Clinicopathological parameters in studied groups**

| Parameters             | Groups | OSCC with CLNI<br>Number(%) | OSCC without CLNI<br>Number(%) | p-value (test)        |
|------------------------|--------|-----------------------------|--------------------------------|-----------------------|
| Age (Mean $\pm$ SD)    |        | 59.58 $\pm$ 19.04           | 59.7 $\pm$ 15.34               | 0.871 (t-test)        |
| <b>Gender</b>          |        |                             |                                |                       |
| Male                   |        | 9(52.9)                     | 9(52.9)                        | 1.0                   |
| Female                 |        | 8(47.1)                     | 8(47.1)                        | (Chi-square)          |
| <b>Site</b>            |        |                             |                                |                       |
| Tongue                 |        | 11(64.7)                    | 13(76.5)                       |                       |
| Alveolar mucosa        |        | 5(29.4)                     | 2(11.8)                        | 0.189                 |
| Buccal mucosa          |        | 0(0)                        | 2(11.8)                        | (Fisher's exact test) |
| Floor of mouth         |        | 1(5.9)                      | 0(0)                           |                       |
| Size (Mean $\pm$ SD)   |        | 2.27 $\pm$ 1.25             | 2.25 $\pm$ 1.38                | 0.521 (t-test)        |
| <b>DOI<sup>1</sup></b> |        |                             |                                |                       |
| <5 mm                  |        | 6(35.3)                     | 6(35.3)                        | 0.315                 |
| 5-10 mm                |        | 3(17.6)                     | 8(47.1)                        | (Mann-Whitney)        |
| >10 mm                 |        | 8(47.1)                     | 3(17.6)                        |                       |

|                         |           |          |                          |
|-------------------------|-----------|----------|--------------------------|
| <b>LVI<sup>2</sup></b>  |           |          |                          |
| Positive                | 15(88.2)  | 2(11.8)  | <0.001<br>(Chi-Square)   |
| Negative                | 2(11.8)   | 15(88.2) |                          |
| <b>PNI<sup>3</sup></b>  |           |          |                          |
| Positive                | 13(76.58) | 11(64.7) | 0.452<br>(Chi-Square)    |
| Negative                | 4(23.5)   | 6(35.3)  |                          |
| <b>Grade</b>            |           |          |                          |
| Well differentiated     | 11(64.7)  | 10(58.8) | 0.98<br>(Mann-Whitney)   |
| Moderate differentiated | 6(35.3)   | 5(29.4)  |                          |
| Poorly differentiated   | 0(0)      | 2(11.8)  |                          |
| <b>Stage</b>            |           |          |                          |
| Stage I                 | 0(0)      | 7(41.2)  | <0.001<br>(Mann-Whitney) |
| Stage II                | 0(0)      | 8(47.1)  |                          |
| Stage III               | 14(82.4)  | 2(11.8)  |                          |
| Stage IVA               | 3(17.6)   | 0(0)     |                          |

1: Depth of invasion, 2: lymphovascular invasion, 3: Perineural invasion


According to Mann-Whitney test, there is a statistically significant difference in the frequency of tissue eosinophils between the two groups ( $p=0.039$ ). The number of eosinophils in group 2 was more than group 1 (Table 2). Also, the mean number of tissue eosinophils in patients under 40 years old was higher than in patients over 40 years old, although this difference was not statistically significant. On the other hand, the mean number of tissue eosinophils was higher in women than in men, although this difference was not statistically significant. Based on Spearman's correlation analysis, the correlation between tumor size and tissue eosinophils frequency did not show a significant relationship ( $r=-0.006$ ,  $p=0.975$ ). In the present study, the mean number of tissue eosinophils based on the location ( $p=0.27$ ), DOI ( $p=0.391$ ), LVI ( $p=0.2$ ), PNI ( $p=0.26$ ), histopathological grade ( $p=0.095$ ) and disease stage ( $p=0.16$ ) did not have a statistically significant difference (Figure 1).

**Table 2. Eosinophils frequency based on clinicopathological parameters**

| Parameters                  | Eosinophils<br>(Mean±SD) | p-value (test)           |
|-----------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|
| Groups                      |                          |                          |
| Group1 (OSCC with CLNI)     | 7.36±3.39                | 0.039<br>(Mann-Whitney)  |
| Group 2 (OSCC without CLNI) | 19.95±5.67               |                          |
| Age                         |                          |                          |
| <40 Years old               | 21.1±14.99               | 0.129<br>(Mann-Whitney)  |
| ≥40 Years old               | 12.37±20.72              |                          |
| Gender                      |                          |                          |
| Male                        | 10.28±14.79              | 0.615<br>(Mann-Whitney)  |
| Female                      | 16.66±23.78              |                          |
| Location                    |                          |                          |
| Tongue                      | 14.66±21.88              | 0.27<br>(Kruskal-Wallis) |
| Alveolar mucosa             | 2.5±2.9                  |                          |
| Buccal mucosa               | 32.5±17.68               |                          |
| Floor of mouth              | 30±0                     |                          |

|                         |             |                           |
|-------------------------|-------------|---------------------------|
| <b>DOI<sup>1</sup></b>  |             |                           |
| <5 mm                   | 12.75±20    | 0.391<br>(Kruskal-Wallis) |
| 5-10 mm                 | 18.37±25.41 |                           |
| >10 mm                  | 9.94±14.08  |                           |
| <b>LVI<sup>2</sup></b>  |             |                           |
| Positive                | 9.1±14.44   | 0.2<br>(Mann-Whitney)     |
| Negative                | 18.19±23.99 |                           |
| <b>PNI<sup>3</sup></b>  |             |                           |
| Positive                | 22.52±28.23 | 0.26<br>(Mann-Whitney)    |
| Negative                | 9.97±14.68  |                           |
| <b>Grade</b>            |             |                           |
| Well differentiated     | 15.67±22.45 | 0.95<br>(Kruskal-Wallis)  |
| Moderate differentiated | 5.9±10.3    |                           |
| Poorly differentiated   | 35±21.21    |                           |
| <b>Stage</b>            |             |                           |
| Stage I                 | 24.51±30.51 | 0.16<br>(Kruskal-Wallis)  |
| Stage II                | 18.9±17.93  |                           |
| Stage III               | 6.4±12.37   |                           |
| Stage IVA               | 7.06±11.2   |                           |

1: Depth of invasion, 2: lymphovascular invasion, 3: Perinural invasion



**Figure 1. A: Tissue eosinophils in well differentiated OSCC (Congo red staining, ×400), B: Tissue eosinophils in moderately differentiated OSCC (×100), C: High frequency of eosinophils (×400), D: low frequency of eosinophils (×400)**



## Discussion

Involvement of cervical lymph nodes is one of the important influencing factors in treatment results and prognosis of oral cancer (14). In the present study, a significant relationship was found between the stage of the disease and CLNI, which is consistent with the studies of Li et al. (15) and Gadbaill et al. (16), but it is in conflict with the results of Wang et al. (17). Moreover, CLNI had a significant relationship with lymphovascular involvement, which is contrary to the results of the studies of Suresh et al. (18), Li et al. (15) and Chen et al. (19), but in line with the study of Wu et al. (20), Adel et al. (21) and Sahoo et al. (22). Lymphovascular and perineural invasion are considered as important pathological factors. Blood vessels invasion by tumoral cells is one of the first stages of metastasis (15). In this study, no significant relationship was found between CLNI and other clinicopathological parameters.

In the present study, eosinophils frequency in group 2 was significantly higher than group 1, which is consistent with the study of Jain et al. (23). However, it is in conflict with the results of the study by Alaeddini et al. (24) and Yellapurkar et al. (25) who did not report a significant difference. The results observed in the recent studies were different and this difference was due to the difference in the groups evaluated in the studies. The results show a definite relationship between the tumor microenvironment, its progression and the involvement of the cervical lymph nodes. A significant relationship between the density of immunological cells and the reduction of cervical lymph node involvement has been stated in studies. Accordingly, the increase in the number of immunological cells including tissue eosinophils (TATE) is a favorable prognostic indicator in OSCC (24, 25).

In the present study, the mean number of tissue eosinophils in younger patients was reported to be higher than older patients, although the difference was not significant, which was in line with the study of Rahrotaban et al. (26), while it was significant based on the age of the patients in the study of Debta et al. (27). In line with the present study, in the studies of Rehrotaban et al. (26), Debta et al. (27), Naderi et al. (28), no significant relationship was observed between the mean number of eosinophils and gender. The difference of this cell based on the tumor location was not significant in this study, which is in accordance with the studies of Rehrotaban et al. (26) and Debta et al. (27).

In the present study, the mean number of tissue eosinophils in samples without LVI and with PNI was high, while in the study of Amouian et al, it was higher in patients with perivascular invasion (29). In the present study, the highest number of eosinophils was found in poorly differentiated OSCCs and the lowest in moderately differentiated OSCCs, although no significant difference was observed between histopathological grade and eosinophils. In the study of Rehrotaban et al., the frequency of tissue eosinophilia in the group of patients with poorly differentiated head and neck SCC was lower than the other two groups (26). In the study of Naderi et al., the mean number of eosinophils in well-differentiated OSCC was higher than in moderately differentiated samples, but a significant relationship was not found (28). In the studies of Siddiqui et al. (30) and Deepthi et al. (31), eosinophils frequency in poorly differentiated tumors was significantly higher than in other groups. However, in the study of Debta et al., from well tumor differentiation to poor differentiation, the number of eosinophils decreased (27). In the studies of Yellapurkar et al. (25) and Joshi et al. (32) the eosinophils level was higher in well-differentiated samples, but there was no significant relationship. Differences in the investigated samples and the method of detection of tissue eosinophils are the reasons for the different results in different studies.

In the present study, the highest mean number of eosinophils was observed in stage I and II. In the study by Choudhary et al., high tissue eosinophilia was associated with positive overall survival and negative disease-free survival in OSCC (33). In the study by Debta et al., the number of eosinophils decreased from

stage I to stage III (27), all of which were consistent with the present study. In the study of Nishikawa et al., they showed that the increase in the tissue eosinophils after the administration of nivolumab in patients with head and neck SCC leads to a better prognosis and longer survival of patients (34).

Eosinophil infiltration in the tumoral tissue is carried out by production of several chemokines of the tumoral cells. Tissue expression of eotaxin secreted by eosinophils has been associated with increased eosinophil migration in Hodgkin lymphoma. In OSCC, eotaxin is mainly secreted by eosinophils, suggesting an autocrine and/or paracrine pathway to maintain tissue eosinophilia. In addition, damage-associated molecular pattern (DAMP) molecules released by necrotic tumor tissue stimulate eosinophil migration. HMGB1 (High-mobility group box 1) released from necrotic tumor tissues recruit eosinophils through a receptor for advanced glycation end products expressed on eosinophils and induces degranulation (27). Therefore, a high eosinophil count indicates an increase in tumor antigens due to tumor necrosis and collapse. An increase in tumor antigens can lead to a high therapeutic response to immunotherapy. Several studies have shown a correlation between increased eosinophils during treatment and longer survival of patients (32-34)

Tissue eosinophils frequency was higher in OSCC without CLNI. Eosinophils is important as a prognostic parameter in OSCC, and it can be used to better evaluate patients with this tumor and provide more appropriate treatment.

**Conflict of interest:** The authors have no conflict of interest.

## Acknowledgment

The Vice President of Research and Technology and Dental Materials Research Center of Isfahan University of Medical Sciences are hereby thanked for supporting the research.

## References

1. Chi AC, Day TA, Neville BW. Oral cavity and oropharyngeal squamous cell carcinoma--an update. *CA Cancer J Clin.* 2015;65(5):401-21.
2. Jain S, Phulari RG, Rathore R, Shah AK, Sancheti S. Quantitative assessment of tumor-associated tissue eosinophilia and mast cells in tumor proper and lymph nodes of oral squamous cell carcinoma. *J Oral Maxillofac Pathol.* 2018;22(1):145.
3. Perera M, Al-Hebshi NN, Perera I, Ipe D, Ulett GC, Speicher DJ, et al. Inflammatory Bacteriome and Oral Squamous Cell Carcinoma. *J Dent Res.* 2018;97(6):725-32.
4. Ohashi S, Miyamoto S, Kikuchi O, Goto T, Amanuma Y, Muto M. Recent Advances From Basic and Clinical Studies of Esophageal Squamous Cell Carcinoma. *Gastroenterology.* 2015;149(7):1700-15.
5. Batista AC, Costa NL, Oton-Leite AF, Mendonça EF, Alencar Rde C, Silva TA. Distinctive clinical and microscopic features of squamous cell carcinoma of oral cavity and lip. *Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol Oral Radiol Endod.* 2010;109(3):e74-9.
6. Moretti A, Vitullo F, Augurio A, Pacella A, Croce A. Surgical management of lip cancer. *Acta Otorhinolaryngol Ital.* 2011;31(1):5-10.
7. Cao L, Sun PL, He Y, Yao M, Gao H. Immune stromal features in cervical squamous cell carcinoma are prognostic factors for distant metastasis: A retrospective study. *Pathol Res Pract.* 2020;216(3):152751.
8. Yang X, Wang L, Du H, Lin B, Yi J, Wen X, et al. Prognostic impact of eosinophils in peripheral blood and tumor site in patients with esophageal squamous cell carcinoma treated with concurrent chemoradiotherapy. *Medicine (Baltimore).* 2021;100(3):e24328.
9. De Paz D, Chang KP, Kao HK, Lao WW, Huang YC, Chang YL, et al. Clinical Implications of Tumor-Associated Tissue Eosinophilia in Tongue Squamous Cell Carcinoma. *Laryngoscope.* 2019;129(5):1123-9.
10. Rakesh N, Devi Y, Majumdar K, Reddy SS, Agarwal K. Tumour associated tissue eosinophilia as a predictor of locoregional recurrence in oral squamous cell carcinoma. *J Clin Exp Dent.* 2015;7(1):e1-6.
11. Martinelli-Kläy CP, Mendis BR, Lombardi T. Eosinophils and oral squamous cell carcinoma: a short review. *J Oncol.* 2009;2009:310132.
12. Dorta RG, Landman G, Kowalski LP, Lauris JR, Latorre MR, Oliveira DT. Tumour-associated tissue eosinophilia as a prognostic factor in oral squamous cell carcinomas. *Histopathology.* 2002;41(2):152-7.
13. Bryne M, Koppang HS, Lilleng R, Kjaerheim A. Malignancy grading of the deep invasive margins of oral squamous cell carcinomas has high prognostic value. *J Pathol.* 1992;166(4):375-81.
14. Bobdey S, Sathwara J, Jain A, Saoba S, Balasubramaniam G. Squamous cell carcinoma of buccal mucosa: An analysis of prognostic factors. *South Asian J Cancer.* 2018;7(1):49-54.
15. Li Y, Liu K, Ke Y, Zeng Y, Chen M, Li W, et al. Risk Factors Analysis of Pathologically Confirmed Cervical Lymph Nodes Metastasis in Oral Squamous Cell Carcinoma Patients with Clinically Negative Cervical Lymph Node: Results from a Cancer Center of Central China. *J Cancer.* 2019;10(13):3062-9.
16. Gadbail AR, Sarode SC, Chaudhary MS, Gondivkar SM, Tekade SA, Yuwanati M, et al. Ki67 Labelling Index predicts clinical outcome and survival in oral squamous cell carcinoma. *J Appl Oral Sci.* 2021;29:e20200751.
17. Wang S, Li T, Liu H, Wei W, Yang Y, Wang C, et al. A Combined Prediction Model for Lymph Node Metastasis Based on a Molecular Panel and Clinicopathological Factors in Oral Squamous Cell Carcinoma. *Front Oncol.* 2021;11:660615.



- 18.Suresh TN, Hemalatha A, Harendra Kumar ML, Azeem Mohiyuddin SM. Evaluation of histomorphological and immunohistochemical parameters as biomarkers of cervical lymph node metastasis in squamous cell carcinoma of oral cavity: A retrospective study. *J Oral Maxillofac Pathol.* 2015;19(1):18-24.
- 19.Chen TC, Wang CP, Ko JY, Yang TL, Hsu CW, Yeh KA, et al. The impact of perineural invasion and/or lymphovascular invasion on the survival of early-stage oral squamous cell carcinoma patients. *Ann Surg Oncol.* 2013;20(7):2388-95.
- 20.Wu K, Yang X, Li L, Ruan M, Liu W, Lu W, et al. Neurovascular Invasion and Histological Grade Serve as the Risk Factors of Cervical Lymph Node Metastases in Early Tongue Squamous Cell Carcinoma. *Mol Neurobiol.* 2016;53(5):2920-6.
- 21.Adel M, Kao HK, Hsu CL, Huang JJ, Lee LY, Huang Y, et al. Evaluation of Lymphatic and Vascular Invasion in Relation to Clinicopathological Factors and Treatment Outcome in Oral Cavity Squamous Cell Carcinoma. *Medicine (Baltimore).* 2015;94(43):e1510.
- 22.Sahoo A, Panda S, Mohanty N, Jena D, Mishra N, Surabhi, et al. Perinerural, lymphovascular and depths of invasion in extrapolating nodal metastasis in oral cancer. *Clin Oral Investig.* 2020;24(2):747-55.
- 23.Jain M, Kasetty S, Sudheendra US, Tijare M, Khan S, Desai A. Assessment of tissue eosinophilia as a prognosticator in oral epithelial dysplasia and oral squamous cell carcinoma-an image analysis study. *Patholog Res Int.* 2014;2014:507512.
- 24.Alaeddini M, Mousavi D, Eshghyar N, Etemad-Moghadam S. Evaluation of eosinophilic infiltration in oral squamous cell carcinoma and its correlation with cervical lymph node metastasis and histological grading. *J Iran Dent Assoc.* 2010;22(2):93-9. [In Persian]
- 25.Yellapurkar S, Natarajan S, Boaz K, Baliga M, Shetty P, Manaktala N, et al. Tumour-Associated Tissue Eosinophilia in Oral Squamous Cell Carcinoma- A Boon or a Bane?. *J Clin Diagn Res.* 2016;10(4):ZC65-8.
- 26.Rahrotaban S, Jolehar M, Khatibi A. Tissue Eosinophilia in Head and Neck Squamous Cell Carcinoma. *J Res Dent Sci.* 2014;11(2):96-102. [In Persian]
- 27.Debta P, Debta FM, Chaudhary M, Bussari S. Evaluation of myeloid cells (tumor-associated tissue eosinophils and mast cells) infiltration in different grades of oral squamous cell carcinoma. *Indian J Med Paediatr Oncol.* 2016;37(3):158-67.
- 28.Jalayer Naderi N, Tirgari F, KharaziFard MJ, Farahani Parsa F. A study on the relationship between clinical features with Ki67 expression and eosinophil cells infiltration in oral squamous cell carcinoma. *Med J Islam Repub Iran.* 2014;28:115.
- 29.Amouian S, Tayebi Meybodi N, Ataranzadeh A, Montazer M, Rahimi M. Survey the Association between Tissue Eosinophil Counts and Prognostic Factors of Esophageal SCC. *J Guilan Univ Med Sci.* 2010;19(73):55-60. [In Persian]
- 30.Siddiqui S, Jaiswal R, Hashmi GS. Quantitative analysis of tumor-associated tissue eosinophils and tumor-associated blood eosinophils in oral squamous cell carcinoma. *J Oral Maxillofac Pathol.* 2020;24(1):131-7.
- 31.Deepthi G, Kulkarni PG, K Nandan SR. Eosinophils: An imperative histopathological prognostic indicator for oral squamous cell carcinoma. *J Oral Maxillofac Pathol.* 2019;23(2):307.
- 32.Joshi PS, Kaijkar MS. A histochemical study of tissue eosinophilia in oral squamous cell carcinoma using Congo red staining. *Dent Res J (Isfahan).* 2013;10(6):784-9.
- 33.Choudhary N, Sarode GS, Yuwanati M, Maniyar N, Sarode SC, Gadbail AR, et al. Tumor associated tissue eosinophilia in oral squamous cell carcinoma: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *J Oral Biol Craniofac Res.* 2021;11(1):33-9.

34. Nishikawa D, Suzuki H, Beppu S, Terada H, Sawabe M, Kadowaki S, et al. Eosinophil prognostic scores for patients with head and neck squamous cell carcinoma treated with nivolumab. *Cancer Sci.* 2021;112(1):339-46.